arXiv:0805.1575v1 [math.GR] 12 May 2008

Ranks of the Sylow 2-Subgroups of the Classical Simple Groups

MONG LUNG LANG

Abstract. Let S be a 2-group. The rank of S is the maximal dimension of an elementary abelian subgroup of S over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The purpose of this article is to determine the rank of S, where S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of the classical simple groups of odd characteristic.

0. Introduction

A 2-group is called *realisable* if it is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite simple group. It is well known that very few 2-groups are realisable (see [HL], [M]). In order to understand such realisable 2-groups, one would like to find a set of invariance of 2-groups which enables us to differentiate Ω_1 (the set of realisable 2-groups) from Ω_2 (the set of non-realisable 2-groups). This article studies the 2-rank (an obvious choice of invariance of 2-groups) of the classical simple groups of odd characteristic. The results are tabulated in the following table. Note that the 2-ranks of $PSL_{2n+1}(q)$ and $PSU_{2n+1}(q)$ are the same as $GL_{2n}(q)$ and $U_{2n}(q)$ respectively and the 2-ranks of $GL_{2n}(q)$ and $U_{2n}(q)$ have been determined in [L]. Note also that classical groups of small ranks have be determined (Theorem 4.10.5 of [GLS3]).

Group	2 Rank
$PSL_4(q)$, $PSU_4(q)$	4
$PSL_{2n}(q)\ :\ q \equiv 3\,(4)\,,\ n \geq 3$	$2n-2$
$PSL_{2n}(q)\ :\ q \equiv 1\,(4)\,,\ n \geq 3$	$2n-1$
$PSU_{2n}(q)\ :\ q \equiv 3\,(4)\,,\ n \geq 3$	$2n-1$
$PSU_{2n}(q)\ :\ q \equiv 1\,(4)\,,\ n \geq 3$	$2n-2$
$PSL_{2n+1}(q)\,,\ PSU_{2n+1}(q)$	$2n$
$PSp_4(q)$	4
$PSp_8(q)$	6
$PSp_{2n}(q)\ :\ n \neq 2, 4$	$n+1$
$P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$	$2n$
$P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)\ :\ q^n \equiv -\eta\,(4)$	$2n-2$
$P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)\ :\ q^n \equiv \eta\,(4)\,,\ n \geq 4$	$2n-2$

1. Projective Symplectic Groups

Let X be a 2-group. The wreath product of X and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is given as follows :

$$w(X) = w_1(X) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle .$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D06,20D08; Secondary 20D20.

Let $z_0 \in Z(X) - \{1\}$. For our convenience, we use the following notations :

$$\overline{X} = X/\langle z_0\rangle, Z = \langle \text{ diag}\,(z_0, z_0)\rangle, \text{ diag}\,(X, X) = B, \overline{B} = B/Z, \overline{w(X)} = w(X)/Z. \quad (1.1)$$

Note that

$$B = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in X \right\rangle \rtimes \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} : t \in X \right\rangle = B_1 \rtimes B_2. \quad (1.2)$$

$$\overline{B} = \overline{B}_1 \rtimes \overline{B}_2 \cong B_1 \rtimes \overline{B}_2 \cong X \rtimes \overline{X}\,, \ \overline{w(X)} = \overline{B} \rtimes \overline{\langle J\rangle} \cong \overline{B} \rtimes \langle J\rangle\,. \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.0. One should take note that $\overline{X} = X/\langle z_0\rangle$ and the others, such as $\overline{B}_1, \overline{B}_2, \cdots$ are defined as B_1/Z, $B_2/Z, \cdots$. To be more precise, let K be a group where members of K are $r \times r$ matrices over X and let $z_0 \in Z(X)$ be fixed, the bar notation $\overline{K}$ is defined to be KZ/Z, where $Z = \langle diag\,(z_0, z_0, \cdots, z_0)\rangle$ (r of them).

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be given as in the above. $r_2(\overline{w(X)}) \geq r_2(\overline{X}) + 2$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. Then $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq r_2(\overline{X}) + 2$.*

Proof. Let $V_0 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : t \in X \right\rangle \subseteq w(X)$. It is clear that $r_2(\overline{w(X)}) \geq r_2(\overline{V}_0) = 2 + r_2(\overline{X})$. This completes the proof of the first part of our lemma.

Since $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$, one has $\overline{E} = (\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}) \times \overline{R}$, where $\overline{R}$ is not the identity group. Applying Lemma 2.3 of [L], $\overline{R}$ is of dimension 1. Hence

$$r_2(\overline{E}) = r_2(\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}) + 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Further, E (the preimage of $\overline{E}$ in $w(X)$) possesses an element of the form $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_0 \\ y_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in E - B$ and $\overline{E} = (\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}) \times \langle\overline{\sigma}\rangle$. Let $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in E \cap B$. Since $\overline{E}$ is abelian, $[\sigma, \tau] \in Z$. It follows that $x = x_0 y x_0^{-1}$ modulo $\langle z_0\rangle$. Hence $x \in X$ is uniquely determined by $y \in X$ modulo $\langle z_0\rangle$. As a consequence, the dimension of $\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}$ is no more than the rank of $\overline{X} + 1$ (1 comes from the fact that x determines y modulo $\langle z_0\rangle$, a group of rank 1). Equivalently, $r_2(\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}) \leq r_2(\overline{X}) + 1$. The inequality (1.4) now becomes $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq 2 + r_2(\overline{X})$. $\square$

Lemma 1.2. *Let Q be generalised quaternion and let $\overline{w(Q)} = w(Q)/diag(-1, -1)$. Then $r_2(\overline{w(Q)}) = 4$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension 4. Then $\overline{E}$ possesses an element of the form $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}}$, where $x, y \in Q, xy = yx = \pm 1$.*

Proof. Let $X = Q$, $z_0 = -1$. Applying Lemma 1.1, equation (1.3) and Lemma 2.3 of [L], we have

$$4 = 2 + r_2(\overline{X}) \leq r_2(\overline{w(Q)}) = r_2(\overline{B} \rtimes \langle J\rangle) \leq r_2(\overline{B}) + 1 \leq r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) + 1 = 4.$$

Since $r_2(\overline{B}) \leq r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) = 1 + 2 = 3$, $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. Hence $\overline{E} \cap (\overline{w(Q)} - \overline{B}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\overline{E}$ must possess an element of the form $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}}$, where $x, y \in Q, xy = yx = \pm 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. *Let* Q *be generalised quaternion and let* $\overline{w_2(Q)} = w_2(Q)/diag(-1,-1,-1,-1)$. *Then* $r_2(\overline{w_2(Q)}) = 6$, $r_2(w(Q) \rtimes \overline{w(Q)}) = 5$. *In particular, if* $\overline{E}$ *is elementary abelian of dimension* 6, *then* $\overline{E}$ *possesses an element of the form* $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}}$, *where* $x, y \in w(Q), xy = yx = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let $X = w(Q)$, $z_0 = \text{diag}\,(-1,-1)$. Then $w_2(Q) = w(X)$. By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, $r_2(\overline{w_2(Q)}) \geq 2 + r_2(\overline{X}) = 6$. Direct calculation shows that the rank of $\overline{B} \cong X \rtimes \overline{X} \cong w(Q) \rtimes \overline{w(Q)}$ is 5. Let $\overline{E}$ be an elementary abelian subgroup of $\overline{w(X)}$ of dimension $r_2(\overline{w(X)}) \geq 6$. It follows from the above that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $w(Q) \rtimes \overline{w(Q)}$. This implies that $\overline{E} \cap (\overline{w_2(Q)} - \overline{B}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\overline{E}$ must possess an element of the form $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}}$, where $x, y \in w(Q), xy = yx = \pm 1$. By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2,

$$r_2(\overline{E}) \leq 2 + r_2(\overline{X}) = 2 + 4 = 6. \tag{1.5}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 1.4. *Let* Q *be generalised quaternion and let* $\overline{w_n(Q)} = w_n(Q)/Z$, *where* $z_0 = diag\,(-1, \cdots, -1)$ $(2^{n-1}$ *of them*). *Then* $r_2(\overline{w_n(Q)}) \geq 2^n + 1$.

Proof. Let $\langle i, j \rangle$ be the quaternion subgroup of order 8 of Q. Let E_m be the matrix obtained from I_{2^n} by replacing the (m,m)-entry by -1 and let

$$M = \langle E_m, \text{diag}\,(i, i, \cdots, i), \text{diag}\,(j, j, \cdots, j), m = 1, 2, \cdots, 2^n \rangle \subseteq w_n(Q).$$

Then $\overline{M}$ is elementary abelian of dimension $2^n + 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 1.5. *Let* Q *be generalised quaternion and let* $\overline{w_3(Q)} = w_3(Q)/Z$, *where* $z_0 = diag\,(-1,-1,-1,-1)$. *Then* $r_2(\overline{w_3(Q)}) = 9$. *Let* $\overline{E}$ *be elementary abelian of dimension* 9. *Then* $\overline{E} \subseteq diag\,\overline{(w_2(Q), w_2(Q))}$.

Proof. Let $X = w_2(Q)$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $r_2(\overline{w_3(Q)})$. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$ (see (1.2) for notation). By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.3, $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq r_2(\overline{X}) + 2 = 8$. A contradiction (see Lemma 1.4). Hence $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{B} = \text{diag}\,\overline{(w_2(Q), w_2(Q))}$. It follows that

$$r_2(\overline{E}) \leq r_2(\overline{B}) = r_2(X \rtimes \overline{X}) \leq r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) = 4 + 6 = 10.$$

Suppose that $r_2(\overline{E}) = 10$. Let $\overline{E} = \overline{V} \times \overline{W}$, where $\overline{V} = \overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1$ (see (1.2) for notation). It follows that $\overline{V}$ is of dimension $4 = r_2(X)$ and that $\overline{W}$ is of dimension $6 = r_2(\overline{X})$. Since $\overline{B}_1 \cong B_1 \cong w_2(Q)$ and elementary abelian subgroups of dimension 4 of $w_2(Q)$ are subgroups of $w(Q) \times w(Q)$ (Lemma 3.2 of [L]), we have

$$\overline{V} = \overline{\text{diag}\,(X_1, X_2, I_4)} \cong \text{diag}\,(X_1, X_2, I_4),$$

where X_i are elementary abelian subgroups of dimension 2 of $w(Q)$. An easy observation of the structure of $w(Q)$ shows that X_i is either

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \text{ or } \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ g^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \tag{1.6}$$

Further, since $r_2(\overline{W}) = 6$, we have $r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 6$ (Lemma 2.3 of [L]). Note that $\overline{B}_2 \cong \overline{w_2(Q)}$. Applying Lemma 1.3, $\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2$ possesses an element of the form

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u & 0 & 0 \\ v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & v & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \text{ where } u, v \in w(Q), uv = vu = \pm I_2. \tag{1.7}$$

Since $r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 6 = r_2(\overline{B}_2)$, $\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2$ must contain all the involutions of the centre of $\overline{B}_2$. Hence

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}} \in \overline{W}|\overline{B}_2. \tag{1.8}$$

It follows that

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & v & 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \ \overline{\begin{pmatrix} w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}} \in \overline{W}, \text{ where } x, w \in w_2(Q). \tag{1.9}$$

Since the above elements are of order 2 in $\overline{E}$, we have

$$x^2 = I_4, uv = vu = I_2, w^2 = I_4, \text{ or } x^2 = -I_4, uv = vu = -I_2, w^2 = I_4. \tag{1.10A}$$

Since the elements in (1.9) commute with each other in $\overline{E}$, we have

$$xwx^{-1} = -w \ (\text{not } \pm w). \tag{1.10B}$$

Note that $[\overline{V}, \overline{W}] = \overline{1}$. It follows that $x, w \in C_{\overline{B}_1}(\overline{V}) \cong C_{B_1}(\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, I_4))$. An easy observation of the centraliser of $\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, I_4)$ in $\overline{B}_1 \cong B_1 \cong w_2(Q)$ shows that $C_{\overline{B}_1}(\overline{V}) \cong C_{B_1}(\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, I_4))$ does not possess elements x, w satisfy

$$w^2 = I_4, x^2 = \pm I_4, xwx^{-1} = -w.$$

A contradiction (see remark). Hence $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq 9$. It follows by Lemma 1.4 that $r_2(\overline{E}) = 9$. □

Remark. The centraliser of $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ in $w(Q)$ is $Q \times Q$. Further,

$$C_{w(Q)}\left(\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ g^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & g^{-1}ag \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ g^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Direct calculation shows that members of $w_2(Q) - w(Q) \times w(Q)$, which take the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ do not centralise $\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2)$. It follows that

$$C_{w_2(X)}(X_1 \times X_2) \cong C_{w(X)}(X_1) \times C_{w(X)}(X_2). \tag{1.11}$$

This enables us to determine the centraliser $C_{\overline{B}_1}(\overline{V}) \cong C_{B_1}(\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, I_4)) \cong C_{w_2(Q)}(\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2))$. As a consequence, if $x, w \in C_{\overline{B}_1}(\overline{V})$ gives the relation $w^2 = I_4, x^2 = \pm I_4, xwx^{-1} = \pm w_4$, then $xwx^{-1} = w$ all the time ! Note that (1.11) is a special case of the following : Let X be a 2-group and let E_i $(1 \leq i \leq 2^n)$ be subgroups of X. Then

$$C_{w_n(X)}(\operatorname{diag}(E_1, \cdots, E_i, \cdots, E_{2^n})) = \operatorname{diag}(\cdots, C_X(E_i), \cdots). \tag{1.12}$$

Lemma 1.6. *Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then $r_2(\overline{w_n(Q)}) = 2^n + 1$. Further, elementary abelian subgroups $\overline{E}$ of dimension $\overline{w_n(Q)}$ are subgroups of*

$$\textit{diag}\,(\overline{w_n(Q), w_n(Q)}).$$

Proof. In the case $n = 3$, by Lemma 1.5, we have $r_2(\overline{w_3(Q)}) = 2^3 + 1$ and $overlineE \subseteq \text{diag}\,(\overline{w_2(Q), w_2(Q)})$, Suppose our lemma holds for n. In the $n+1$ case, let $X = w_n(Q)$ and let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $r_2(\overline{w_{n+1}(Q)})$. By Lemma 1.4, $r_2(\overline{E}) \geq 2^{n+1} + 1$. If $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$ (see (1.2) for notation), then $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq r_2(\overline{X}) + 2$ (Lemma 1.1). It follows that

$$r_2(\overline{X}) + 2 \geq r_2(\overline{E}) + 2 \geq 2^{n+1} + 1.$$

By inductive hypothesis, $r_2(\overline{X}) = 2^n + 1$. Hence $2^n + 3 \geq 2^{n+1} + 1$. A contradiction. Hence $\overline{E}$ is a subgroup of $\overline{B} = \text{diag}\,(\overline{w_n(Q), w_n(Q)})$. Applying (1.2) and Lemma 2.3 of [L],

$$r_2(\overline{E}) = r_2(\overline{B}) \leq r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) = 2^n + 2^n + 1 = 2^{n+1} + 1.$$

Hence $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq r_2(\overline{B}) \leq 2^{n+1} + 1$. By Lemma 1.4, we have $r_2(\overline{E}) = 2^{n+1} + 1$. □

Let $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_u}$ $(m_1 < m_2 < \cdots)$ be the 2-adic representation of n. Then

$$S = \text{diag}\,(w_{m_1}(Q), w_{m_2}(Q), \cdots, w_{m_u}(Q))$$

is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Sp_{2n}(q)$ (Q is generalised quaternion). Let $Z = \text{diag}\,(-1, -1, \cdots, -1)$ (n of them). Then $\overline{S} = S/Z$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSp_{2n}(q)$ (Theorem 6 of Wong [W]). Similar to (1.1)-(1.3), we have

$$\overline{S} \cong (w_{m_2}(Q) \times w_{m_3}(Q) \times \cdots \times w_{m_u}(Q)) \rtimes \overline{w_{m_1}(Q)}. \tag{1.13}$$

To be more precise, one has

$$\overline{S} = \overline{B}_1 \rtimes \overline{B}_2, \tag{1.14}$$

where $B_2 = \{\text{diag}\,(t, t, \cdots, t)\ (n/2^{m_1} \text{ of them}) : t \in w_{m_1}(Q)\} \cong w_{m_1}(Q)$ and $B_1 = \text{diag}\,(w_{m_2}(Q), \cdots, w_{m_u}(Q), I_{2^{m_1}})$. Note that

$$\overline{B}_1 = \text{diag}\,(\overline{w_{m_2}(Q), \cdots, w_{m_u}(Q), I_{2^{m_1}}}) \cong \text{diag}\,(w_{m_2}(Q), \cdots, w_{m_u}(Q), I_{2^{m_1}}).$$

Applying the proof of Lemma 1.4, we have

$$r_2(\overline{S}) \geq n + 1. \tag{1.15}$$

Applying Proposition 3.4 of [L] and Lemma 1.6, we have

(i) if $m_1 = 0$, then $r_2(\overline{S}) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(Q)) + r_2(\overline{Q}) = n + 1$,
(ii) if $m_1 = 1$, then $r_2(\overline{S}) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(Q)) + r_2(\overline{w(Q)}) = n + 2$,
(iii) if $m_1 = 2$, then $r_2(\overline{S}) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(Q)) + r_2(\overline{w_2(Q)}) = n + 2$,
(iv) if $m_1 \geq 3$, then $r_2(\overline{S}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(Q)) = n + 1$.

(i) By (1.15), we have $r_2(\overline{S}) = n + 1$.

(ii) The case $n = 2$ is covered by Lemma 1.2. We shall assume that $n > 2$. We have $B_2 \cong w(Q)$ (see (1.14) for notation). By Lemma 1.2, $r_2(\overline{B}_2) = 4$. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{S}) = n + 2$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $n + 2$. Let $\overline{E} = \overline{V} \times \overline{W}$, where $\overline{V} = \overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1$. The equality $r_2(\overline{S}) = r_2(\overline{E}) = \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(Q)) + r_2(\overline{w(Q)}) = n + 2$ implies that $V \cong \overline{V}$ (V is the preimage of $\overline{V}$) is of dimension $n - 2$ and $\overline{W}$ is of dimension 4. Since $r_2(w(Q)) = 2$, applying Lemma 3.2 of [L], we have

$$V = \text{diag}\,(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n/2-1}, I_2), \tag{1.16}$$

where $X_i \subseteq w(Q)$, $r_2(X_i) = 2$. Applying Lemma 2.3 of [L], $r_2(\overline{W}) = r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 4$. As a consequence, $D = \left\langle \text{diag}\,(X_1, I_2, \cdots, I_2, I_2), \overline{W}|\overline{B}_2 \right\rangle$ is elementary abelian of dimension 6. Note that D is isomorphic to an elementary abelian subgroup of $w(Q) \rtimes \overline{w(Q)}$. This is a contradiction (see Lemma 1.3). Hence $r_2(\overline{S}) = n+1$.

(iii) The case $n = 4$ is covered by Lemma 1.2. We shall assume that $n > 4$. We have $B_2 \cong w_2(Q)$. By Lemma 1.2, $r_2(\overline{B}_2) = 6$. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{S}) = n+2$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $n+2$. Let $\overline{E} = \overline{V} \times \overline{W}$, where $V = E \cap B_1$. The equality $r_2(\overline{S}) = r_2(\overline{E}) = \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(Q)) + r_2(\overline{w_2(Q)}) = n+2$ implies that $V \cong \overline{V}$ is of dimension $n-4$ and $\overline{W}$ is of dimension 6. Since $r_2(w(Q)) = 2$, applying Lemma 3.2 of [L], we have

$$V = \text{diag}\,(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n/2-1}, I_4), \tag{1.17}$$

where $X_i \subseteq w(Q)$, $r_2(X_i) = 2$. Applying Lemma 2.3 of [L], $r_2(\overline{W}) = r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 6$. As a consequence, $D = \left\langle \text{diag}\,(X_1, X_2, I_4, \cdots, I_4, I_4), \overline{W}|\overline{B}_2 \right\rangle$ is elementary abelian of dimension 10. Note that D is isomorphic to an elementary abelian subgroup of $w_2(Q) \rtimes \overline{w_2(Q)}$. This is a contradiction (see Lemma 1.5). Hence $r_2(\overline{S}) = n+1$.

(iv) By (1.15), we have $r_2(\overline{S}) = n+1$.

In summary, we have the following result :

Proposition 1.7. *Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSp_{2n}(q)$. Then*

(i) $r_2(S) = 4$ *if* $n = 2$, $r_2(S) = 6$ *if* $n = 4$,
(ii) $r_2(S) = n+1$ *if* $n \neq 2, 4$.

2. Projective Special Linear and Unitary Groups

2.1. **Projective Special Linear and Unitary Groups I.** The main purpose of this section is to determine the 2-rank of $PSL_{2n}(q)$, where $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and the 2-rank of $PSU_{2n}(q)$ where $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a 2-group and let $z_0 \in Z(K)^\times, \overline{K} = K/\langle z_0\rangle$. Suppose that $r_2(K) = r_2(\overline{K}) = 2$. Then $r_2(\overline{w(K)}) = 4$.*

Proof. Let $X = K$. By Lemma 1.1 $r_2(\overline{w(X)}) \geq r_2(\overline{X}) + 2 \geq 4$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $r_2(\overline{w(X)})$. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$ (see (1.1)-(1.3) for notation). By Lemma 1.1, $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq 4$. Suppose that $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{B}$. By Lemma 2.3 of [L],

$$r_2(\overline{E}) = r_2(\overline{B}) = r_2(X \rtimes \overline{X}) \leq 4.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ☐

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a 2-group. $z_0 \in Z(K)^\times, \overline{w_n(K)} = w_n(K)/diag\,(z_0, \cdots z_0)$ (2^n of them). Suppose that $r_2(K) = 2$. Then $r_2(\overline{w_n(K)}) \geq 2^{n+1} - 1$.*

Proof. Since $r_2(K) = 2$, $r_2(w_n(K)) = 2^{n+1}$ (Proposition 3.4 of [L]). It follows that $r_2(\overline{w_n(K)}) \geq r_2(w_n(K)) - 1 = 2^{n+1} - 1$. ☐

Lemma 2.3. *Let K be a 2-group. $z_0 \in Z(K)^\times$, $\overline{w_2(K)} = w_2(K)/diag\,(z_0, z_0, z_0, z_0)$. Suppose that $r_2(K) = r_2(\overline{K}) = 2$, $r_2(\overline{K \times K}) = 3$. Suppose further that $C_{w(X)}(D)$ possesses no x, w such that $w^2 = I_2$, $x^2 = diag\,(t,t)I_2$, $xwx^{-1} = diag\,(e,e)w$ ($t \in \langle z_0\rangle, e \in \langle z_0\rangle - \{1\}$), where D is any elementary abelian subgroup of dimension 4 of $w(K)$. Then $r_2(\overline{w_2(K)}) = 7$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{E}$ be an elementary abelian subgroup of $\overline{w_2(K)}$ of dimension $r_2(\overline{w_2(K)})$ and let $X = w(K)$. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$ (see (1.1)-(1.3) for notation). Then $r_2(\overline{E}) \le r_2(\overline{X}) + 2 = 6$ (Lemmas 1.1, 2.1). This contradicts Lemma 2.2. Hence $\overline{E}$ is a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. By Proposition 3.4 of [L], $r_2(w(K)) = 4$. Hence

$$r_2(\overline{E}) = r_2(\overline{B}) \le r_2(B_1) + r_2(\overline{B}_2) = r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) = 8.$$

Suppose that $r_2(\overline{E}) = 8$. Let $\overline{E} = (\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1) \times \overline{W}$. It follows that $r_2(\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1) = r_2(\overline{W}) = 4$. By Lemma 2.3 of [L], $r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 4 > 3 = r_2(\overline{K \times K})$. Hence $\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2$ possesses an element of the form

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u & 0 & 0 \\ v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & v & 0 \end{pmatrix}},$$

where $u, v \in K, uv = vu = t$ for some $t \in \langle z_0 \rangle$. Further, since $r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = r_2(\overline{B}_2) = r_2(\overline{w(X)})$ (see Lemma 2.1), $\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2$ must contain the following involution of $Z(\overline{B}_2)$.

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}},$$

where $e \in \langle z_0 \rangle$ is of order 2. As a consequence, $\overline{W}$ must contain the following elements.

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & v & 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad \overline{\begin{pmatrix} w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}},$$

where $x, w \in w(X)$, $x^2 = diag\,(t, t)$, $w^2 = I_2$. Further, $xwx^{-1} = diag\,(e, e)w$ ($\overline{W}$ is abelian). Since $[\overline{E} \cap B_1, \overline{W}] = \overline{1}$, $x, w \in C_{\overline{B}_1}(\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1)$, where $\overline{B}_1 \cong w(X)$ and that $r_2(\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1) = 4$. This contradicts our assumption ($\overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1 \cong \overline{E} \cap B_1$ is of dimension 4). Hence $r_2(\overline{E}) = r_2(\overline{w_2(T)}) \le 7$. By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $r_2(\overline{w_2(T)}) = 7$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let K be a 2-group. Suppose that $r_2(K) = r_2(\overline{K}) = 2$, $r_2(\overline{K \times K}) = 3$. Suppose that $r_2(K) = r_2(\overline{K}) = 2$, $r_2(\overline{K \times K}) = 3$. Suppose further that $C_{w(X)}(D)$ possesses no x, w such that $w^2 = I_2$, $x^2 = diag\,(t, t)I_2$, $xwx^{-1} = diag\,(e, e)w$ ($t \in \langle z_0 \rangle, e \in \langle z_0 \rangle - \{1\}$), where D be an elementary abelian subgroup of dimension 4 of $w(K)$. If $n \ge 2$, then $r_2(\overline{w_n(K)}) = 2^{n+1} - 1$.*

Proof. Our assertion holds for $n = 2$ (Lemma 2.3). Suppose that $r_2(\overline{w_m(K)}) = 2^{m+1} - 1$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of maximal rank of $\overline{w_{m+1}(K)}$. Let $X = w_m(K)$. Then $w_{m+1}(X) = w(X)$. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. Then $r_2(\overline{E}) \le 2 + r_2(\overline{X}) = 2^{m+1} + 1$ (Lemma 1.1). This contradicts Lemma 2.2. Hence $\overline{E}$ is a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. Applying Proposition 3.4 of [L] and our inductive hypothesis, we have

$$r_2(\overline{E}) \le r_2(\overline{B}) \le r_2(B_1) + r_2(\overline{B}_2) = r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) = 2^{m+1} + 2^{m+1} - 1 = 2^{m+2} - 1.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $r_2(\overline{E}) = 2^{m+2} - 1$. □

Let T, R, $S(T, R, J)$ and $W(TR, 1, J)$ be given as in sections 4.1 and 4.2 of [L].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $T \rtimes R$ be a semidirect product of 2-groups. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{TR}) > r_2(T)$ and that $\sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(TR,1,J)) + r_2(\overline{w_{m_1}(TR,1,J)}) = r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)})$. Then $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) > r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)})$.*

Proof. Recall first that $\overline{\mathrm{diag}\,(w_{m_1}(TR,1,J)\,, w_{m_2}(TR,1,J)\,, \cdots, w_{m_u}(TR,1,J))} = \overline{W(TR,1,J)}$. It is easy to see that the above can be written as the following semidirect product.

$$\mathrm{diag}\,(w_{m_2}(TR,1,J), w_{m_3}(TR,1,J), \cdots, w_{m_u}(TR,1,J), I_{2^{m_1}}) \rtimes \overline{D},$$

where $D = \langle \mathrm{diag}\,(t,t,\cdots) : t \in w_{m_1}(TR,1,J)\rangle$. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) = d$. Let $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{S(T,R,J)}$ be elementary abelian of dimension d. It follows that $\overline{E}$ is of maximal dimension in $\overline{W(TR,1,J)}$. By the assumption of the lemma, we have $r_2(\overline{\mathrm{diag}\,(I_{2^{m_2}}, \cdots, w_{m_i}(TR,1,J), \cdots, I_{2^{m_u}}, I_{2^{m_1}}) \cap E}) = r_2(w_{m_i}(TR,1,J))$. Since $r_2(TR) > r_2(T)$, we have $r_2(TR) \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.2 of [L], the intersection $\overline{\mathrm{diag}\,(w_{m_2}(TR,1,J), I_{2^{m_3}}, \cdots, I_{2^{m_u}}, I_{2^{m_1}}) \cap E}$ must take the following form :

$$\overline{\mathrm{diag}\,(\prod_{i=1}^{2^{m_2}} V_i, I_{2^{m_3}}, \cdots, I_{2^{m_u}}, I_{2^{m_1}})}, \text{ where } V_i \subseteq TR,\ r_2(V_i) = r_2(TR) \text{ for all } i.$$

It follows that the intersection, as well as $\overline{E}$, must contain an element of the form $\overline{\mathrm{diag}\,(tr,1,1,\cdots,1)}$ $(t \in T, r \in R)$. Since $r_2(TR) > r_2(T)$, $r_2(TR) = r_2(V_i)$, we may assume that $r \neq 1$. This implies that E, as well as $S(T,R,J)$, contains an element $\mathrm{diag}\,(x_1, x_2, \cdots,)$ $(x_i = t_i r_j, t_i \in T, r_i \in R)$, where the number of i such that $r_i \neq 1$ is odd. This is a contradiction (see remark of section 4.2 of [L]). Hence $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) > r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)})$. □

Let $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_u}$ $(m_1 < m_2 < \cdots)$ be the 2-adic representation of n and let T be generalised quaternion of order 2^{t+1}, where $2^{t+1} || (q^2 - 1)$, and let R be given as in section 5.1 of [L]. Then the group TR satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.4. Further,

$$S(T,R,J) = \mathrm{diag}\,(w_{m_1}(T,R,J), w_{m_2}(T,R,J), \cdots, w_{m_u}(T,R,J)) \rtimes U(R)$$

is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $SL_{2n}(q)$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ (Theorem 4 of Wong [W]). Let $z_0 \in T$ be the element of order 2. Then $Z = \langle \mathrm{diag}\,(z_0, z_0, \cdots)\rangle \subseteq S(T,R,J)$ is the centre of $S(T,R,J)$. Further,

$$S(T,R,J)/Z \tag{2.1}$$

is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSL_{2n}(q)$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. One sees easily that

$$S(T,R,J)/Z \subseteq W(TR,1,J)/Z = \overline{W(TR,1,J)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $W(TR,1,J) \cong \prod w_{m_i}(TR)$ is a direct product of wreath products ((4.8) of [L]), one may Proposition 3.4 of [L] to conclude that

$$r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) \geq r_2(W(TR,1,J)) - 1 = 2n - 1. \tag{2.3A}$$

Note that $\overline{W(TR,1,J)}$ can be written as a semidirect product (see the proof of Lemma 2.5).

$$\overline{W(TR,1,J)} \cong \left(\prod_{i=2}^{u} w_{m_i}(TR)\right) \rtimes w_{m_1}(TR).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.4 of [L], Hence

$$r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) \leq \sum r_2(w_{m_i}(TR)) + r_2(\overline{w_{m_1}(TR)}) \leq 2n - 1. \tag{2.3B}$$

Hence (see (2.3A) and (2.3B))

$$r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n-1. \tag{2.4}$$

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. *Then* $r_2(PSL_4(q)) = 4$. *If* $n \geq 3$, *then* $r_2(PSL_{2n}(q)) = 2n-2$.

Proof. It is well known that $r_2(PSL_4(q)) = 4$. Suppose that $n \geq 3$. $\overline{S(T,R,J)}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSL_{2n}(q)$. Since $r_2(SL_{2n}(q)) = 2n-1$ (see [L]), $r_2(PSL_{2n}(q)) \geq 2n-2$. By (2.4), we have

$$2n-2 \leq r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) \leq r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n-1. \tag{2.5}$$

Since T and R (for $PSL_{2n}(q)$) satisfy the assumption of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that $r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) < r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)})$. Hence $2n-2 = r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)})$. □

Suppose that $q \equiv 1$ (mod 4). Then (2.1) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSU_{2n}(q)$. It follows that

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. *Then* $r_2(PSU_4(q)) = 4$. *If* $n \geq 3$, *then* $r_2(PSU_{2n}(q)) = 2n-2$.

2.2. **Projective Special Linear and Unitary Groups II.** The main purpose of this section is to determine the 2-rank of $PSL_{2n}(q)$ where $q \equiv 1$ (mod 4) and the 2-rank of $PSU_{2n}(q)$ where $q \equiv 3$ (mod 4).

Lemma 2.8. *Let* K *be a 2-group.* $z_0 \in Z(K)^\times$. *Suppose that* $r_2(K) = r_2(\overline{K}) = 2$, $r_2(\overline{K \times K}) = 4$. *Then* $r_2(\overline{w_n(K)}) = 2^{n+1}$.

Proof. By our assumption and lemma 2.3 of [L], $\overline{K \times K}$ has an elementary abelian subgroup of dimension 4 generated by $\overline{g}_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 4)$, where $g_1 = \text{diag}\,(k_1, 1)$, $g_2 = \text{diag}\,(k_2, 1)$, $g_3 = \text{diag}\,(a, k_3)$, $g_4 = \text{diag}\,(b, k_4)$, where $k_i, a, b \in K$. Let V be the subgroup of $w_n(K)$ generated by $\text{diag}\,(a, a, \cdots, a, k_3)$, $\text{diag}\,(b, b, \cdots, b, k_4)$, and $\text{diag}\,(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2^n-1}, 1)$, where x_i is either k_1 or k_2. It is clear that $\overline{V} \subseteq \overline{w_n(K)}$ is elementary abelian of dimension 2^{n+1}. Hence

$$r_2(\overline{w_n(K)}) \geq r_2(\overline{V}) = 2^{n+1}. \tag{2.6}$$

We shall now apply induction on n. It is clear that our assertion holds for $n = 0$ as $\overline{w_0(K)} = \overline{K}$ is of rank 2. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{w_m(K)}) = 2^{m+1}$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $r_2(\overline{w_{m+1}(K)})$. Let $X = w_m(K)$. Then $w_{m+1}(K) = w(X)$. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$ (see (1.1)-(1.3) for notation). Then $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq 2 + r_2(\overline{X}) = 2^{m+1} + 1$ (Lemma 1.1). This contradicts (2.6). Hence $\overline{E}$ is a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. Applying Proposition 3.4 of [L] and our inductive hypothesis, we have

$$r_2(\overline{E}) = r_2(\overline{B}) \leq r_2(X) + r_2(\overline{X}) = 2^{m+1} + 2^{m+1} = 2^{m+2}.$$

By (2.6), $r_2(\overline{E}) = 2^{m+2}$. □

Let $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_u}$ $(m_1 < m_2 < \cdots)$ be the 2-adic representation of n. Let $T = \langle v, w \rangle$ be generalised quaternion of order 2^{t+1} $(o(v) = 2^t)$, where $2^{t+1} || (q^2-1)$, and let $R = \langle e \rangle$, E_0, R_0 be given as in section 5.2 of [L]. Then TR satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.8. Further,

$$S(T,R,J) = \text{diag}\,(w_{m_1}(T,R,J), w_{m_2}(T,R,J), \cdots, w_{m_u}(T,R,J)) \rtimes U(R)$$

is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $SL_{2n}(q)$, $q \equiv 1$ (mod 4). Let $2^m = gcd\,(2^{m_1}, q-1)$ and let $z_0 = (e^2v)^{2^{t-m}}$. Then the centre of $S(T,R,J)$ is generated by

$$z = \text{diag}\,(z_0, z_0, \cdots, z_0), \;\; o(z_0) = 2^m. \tag{2.7}$$

By Theorem 6 of Wong [W],

$$S(T, R, J)/\langle z\rangle \tag{2.8}$$

is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSL_{2n}(q)$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. One sees easily that

$$S(T, R, J)/\langle z\rangle \subseteq W(TR, 1, J)/\langle z\rangle = \overline{W(TR, 1, J)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Since $W(TR, 1, J) \cong w_{m_i}(TR)$ is a direct product of wreath products ((4.8) of [L]) and $K = TR$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.8, similar to Lemma 2.8, one may conclude that

$$r_2(\overline{W(TR, 1, J)}) = 2n. \tag{2.10}$$

Proposition 2.9. *Suppose that* $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. *Then* $r_2(PSL_4(q)) = 4$. *If* $n \geq 3$, *then* $r_2(PSL_{2n}(q)) = 2n - 1$.

Proof. Note that it is well known that $r_2(PSL_4(q)) = 4$. Suppose that $n \geq 3$. Let

$$V = \langle S(E_0, R_0, 1) \times U(R_0), \operatorname{diag}(w, w, \cdots, w)\rangle .$$

Applying Lemma 4.3 of [L], we have

$$r_2(PSL_{2n}(q)) \geq r_2(\overline{V}) = 2n - 1. \tag{2.11}$$

$\overline{S(T, R, J)}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSL_{2n}(q)$. Applying the above ((2.10, (2.11)), we have

$$2n - 1 \leq r_2(\overline{S(T, R, J)}) \leq r_2(\overline{W(TR, 1, J)}) = 2n. \tag{2.12}$$

Since T and R (for $PSL_{2n}(q)$) satisfy the assumption of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that $r_2(\overline{S(T, R, J)}) < r_2(\overline{W(TR, 1, J)})$. Hence $2n - 1 = r_2(\overline{S(T, R, J)})$. ☐

Suppose that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Then (2.8) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $PSU_{2n}(q)$. It follows that

Proposition 2.10. *Suppose that* $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. *Then* $r_2(PSU_4(q)) = 4$. *If* $n \geq 3$, *then* $r_2(PSU_{2n}(q)) = 2n - 1$.

3. ORTHOGONAL COMMUTATOR GROUPS $\Omega_{2n+1}(q) = P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$

Let 2^{t+1} be the greatest power of 2 that divides $q^2 - 1$ and let $T = \langle v, w\rangle$ be a dihedral group of order 2^t, where $o(v) = 2^{t-1}, o(w) = 2, wvw = v^{-1}$. Further, $R = \langle e\rangle$ is a group of order 2 acts on T by $eve = v^{-1}, ewe = vw$. Let $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_u}$ be the 2-adic representation of n. Then $S(T, R, J)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n+1}(q) = P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ (see (ii) of Theorem 7 of Wong [W]). By the results in section 5.4 of [L], the rank of $S(T, R, J)$ is $2n$.

4. ORTHOGONAL COMMUTATOR GROUPS $\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q) = P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$ WHERE $\eta = \pm 1$, $q^n \equiv -\eta$ (MOD 4)

Applying Theorem 7 of Wong [W], a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q) = P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $O_{2(n-1)}(\eta', q)$, where $q^{n-1} \equiv \eta' \pmod 4$. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $O_{2(n-1)}(\eta', q)$, where $q^{n-1} \equiv \eta' \pmod 4$. Applying Theorem 3 of Carter and Fong [CF], S is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $O^+_{2n-1}(q)$. We shall now describe S as follows :

Let D be a dihedral group of order 2^{s+1}, where 2^{s+1} is the greatest power of 2 that divides $q^2 - 1$. Then D is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $O^+_3(q)$. Let T_{r-1} be the wreath product of $r - 1$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and let S_r be the wreath product of D and T_{r-1}. Then S_r is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $O^+_{2^r+1}(q)$. Let $2(n - 1) = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_u}$ be the 2-adic representation of $2(n - 1)$. Applying Theorem 2 of Carter and Fong [CF],

$$S \cong S_{m_1} \times S_{m_2} \times \cdots \times S_{m_u}.$$

By the results of section 5.5 of [L], the rank of S is $2n-2$.

5. Orthogonal Commutator Groups $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$, where n is even, $\eta = \pm 1$, $q^n \equiv \eta \pmod 4$

Note first that since n is even and $q^n \equiv \eta$, we have $\eta = 1$. Let 2^{t+1} be the greatest power of 2 that divides q^2-1 and let T be the central product of two dihedral groups of order 2^{t+1} :

$$T = \left\langle d = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, g = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ w & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

where $o(u) = 2^t$, $o(w) = 2$, $wuw = u^{-1}$. Let $R = \langle e, f\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where

$$d^e = g^{-1}, g^e = d^{-1}, h^e = gk, k^e = dh,$$

$$d^f = g, g^f = d, h^f = k, k^f = h.$$

Let $n/2 = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_u}$ (n even, $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$) be the 2-adic representation of n. By Theorem 11 of [W], $S(T, R, J)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$, where n is even, $\eta = \pm 1$, $q^n \equiv \eta \pmod 4$. Let

$$z = \operatorname{diag}(g^{2^{t-1}}, g^{2^{t-1}}, \cdots). \tag{5.1}$$

Then $\overline{S(T,R,J)} = S(T,R,J)/\langle z\rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$, where n is even, $\eta = \pm 1$, $q^n \equiv \eta \pmod 4$. It is easy to see that

$$T = (\langle d\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle) \rtimes \langle h, k\rangle .$$

As a consequence, one can show that $r_2(T) = 3$, $r_2(TR) = 4 = r_2(T) + 1$. It is also easy to see that $Z(T) = \left\langle g^{2^{t-1}} \right\rangle$ is of order 2 and that $r_2(T/Z(T)) = 4$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $z_0 = g^{2^{t-1}}$, $\overline{TR} = TR/\langle z_0\rangle$, $\overline{TR \times TR} = (TR \times TR)/\langle diag\,(z_0, z_0)\rangle$. *Then* $r_2(\overline{TR}) = 4$, $r_2(\overline{TR \times TR}) = 7$. *In particular,* $r_2(\overline{w(TR)}) = 7$.

Proof. Let $X = TR$. Then $B \cong TR \times TR$ (see (1.1)-(1.3) for notation). It is easy to show that $r_2(\overline{X}) = r_2(\overline{TR}) = 4$. By Lemma 2.3 of [L],

$$7 = r_2(B) - 1 \leq r_2(\overline{B}) \leq 8.$$

Suppose that $r_2(\overline{B}) = 8$. Let $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{B}$ be elementary abelian of dimension 8 and let $\overline{E} = \overline{V} \times \overline{W}$, where $\overline{V} = \overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1$. Then both $\overline{V}$ and $\overline{W}$ are of dimension 4. Let

$$\overline{V} = \left\langle \overline{\begin{pmatrix} x_i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \,:\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \right\rangle, \overline{W} = \left\langle \overline{\begin{pmatrix} u_i & 0 \\ 0 & w_i \end{pmatrix}} \,:\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \right\rangle.$$

Since $[\overline{V}, \overline{W}] = \overline{I}_2$,

$$[x_i, u_j] = 1. \tag{5.2}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, $r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 4$. Since $r_2(\overline{B}_2) = 4$, $\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2$ must contain all the involutions of $Z(\overline{B}_2)$. It follows that

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} g^{2^{t-2}} & 0 \\ 0 & g^{2^{t-2}} \end{pmatrix}} \in \overline{W}|\overline{B}_2. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & g^{2^{t-2}} \end{pmatrix}} \in \overline{W}, \tag{5.4}$$

for some σ. Since the above element if of order 2 in $\overline{E}$, $\sigma^2 = g^{2^{t-1}}$. By our results in Appendix A,

$$\sigma \in \{\, g^{2^{t-2}},\ d^{2^{t-2}},\ g^{2^{t-2}} d^m h,\ d^{2^{t-2}} g^n k \,\}. \tag{5.5}$$

Let $A = \left\{ x : \overline{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \in \overline{V} \right\} \subseteq TR$. Since $A \subseteq TR$ is elementary abelian of dimension 4 and T is of rank 3, A must possess an element (of order 2) of the form tr, where $t \in T$, $r \in R - \{1\}$. This particular element tr must commute with σ (see (5.2)). Since such elements tr are completely known (see b(i) and its remark of section 5.6 of [L]) and they do not commute with

$$g^{2^{t-2}} d^m h,\ d^{2^{t-2}} g^n k,$$

(5.5) can be refined into

$$\sigma \in \{\, g^{2^{t-2}},\ d^{2^{t-2}} \,\}. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $[A, \sigma] = 1$ (see (5.2)), $A \subseteq C = C_{TR}(\sigma)$. This is not possible as such C is of rank at most 3 (see Appendix A). As a consequence, $r_2(\overline{B}) \neq 8$. It follows that $r_2(\overline{(TR \times TR)}) = r_2(\overline{B}) = 7$.

Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $r_2(\overline{w(TR)}) \geq 7$. Suppose that $\overline{E}$ is not a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. By Lemma 1.1, $r_2(\overline{E}) \leq 2 + r_2(\overline{X}) = 6 < 7$. A contradiction. Hence $\overline{E}$ is a subgroup of $\overline{B}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Similar to Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6, which we prove that $r_2(\overline{w_n(Q)}) = 2^n + 1$ under the assumption that $r_2(w_2(Q)) = 4$, $r_2(\overline{w_2(Q)}) = 6$, $r_2(\overline{w_3(Q)}) = 9$, one may apply our result of Lemma 5.1 ($r_2(\overline{w(TR)}) = 7$), $r_2(TR) = r_2(\overline{TR}) = 4$ to show that $r_2(\overline{w_n(TR)}) = 2^{n+2} - 1$. Consequently, one has,

Lemma 5.2. Let T and R be given as in the above. Then $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n - 1$.

Proof. Since $W(TR,1,J) \cong \prod w_{m_i}(TR)$ is a direct product of wreath products, one may apply Proposition 3.4 of [L] to conclude that $r_2(W(TR,1,J)) = 2n$. Since $r_2(W(TR,1,J)) = 2n$, we have

$$r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) \geq r_2(W(TR,1,J)) - 1 = 2n - 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Recall that

$$\overline{W(TR,1,J)} = \overline{B}_1 \rtimes \overline{B}_2 \cong B_1 \rtimes \overline{B}_2, \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \operatorname{diag}(w_{m_2}(TR,1,J), \cdots, w_{m_u}(TR,1,J), I_{2^{m_1}}), \\ B_2 &= \langle \operatorname{diag}(t, t, \cdots) : t \in w_{m_1}(TR,1,J) \rangle \cong w_{m_1}(TR,1,J). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

By Proposition 3.4 of [L] and Lemma 5.1, we have

(i) if $m_1 = 0$, then $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(TR)) + r_2(\overline{TR}) = 2n$,

(ii) if $m_1 \geq 1$, then $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(TR)) + r_2(\overline{w(TR)}) = 2n - 1$.

(i) Note first that $B_2 \cong TR$. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n$. Let $\overline{E}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $2n$. Let $\overline{E} = \overline{V} \times \overline{W}$, where $\overline{V} = \overline{E} \cap \overline{B}_1$. The equality $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = r_2(\overline{E}) = \sum_{i=2}^{u} r_2(w_{m_i}(TR)) + r_2(\overline{TR}) = n + 2$ implies that $\overline{V}$ is of dimension $2n - 4$ and $\overline{W}$ is of dimension 4. Since $r_2(TR) = 4$, applying Lemma 3.2 of [L], we have

$$\overline{V} = \operatorname{diag}(E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n/2-1}, 1), \tag{5.10}$$

where $E_i \subseteq TR$ is elementary abelian of dimension 4. Applying Lemma 2.3 of [L], $r_2(\overline{W}) = r_2(\overline{W}|\overline{B}_2) = 4$. As a consequence, $D = \left\langle \mathrm{diag}\,(E_1, 1, \cdots, 1, 1), \overline{W}|\overline{B}_2 \right\rangle$ is elementary abelian of dimension 8. Note that D is isomorphic to an elementary abelian subgroup of $\overline{w(TR)}$. This is a contradiction (see Lemma 5.1). Hence $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) < 2n$. By (5.7), $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n-1$.

(ii) By (5.7), $r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n-1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Similar to Propositions 2.6 and 2.9, we may prove that

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that $n \geq 4$ is even. Then $r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) = 2n-2$. In particular, the rank of $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$, where $n \geq 4$ is even, $\eta = \pm 1$, $q^n \equiv \eta$ (mod 4) is $2n-2$.*

Proof. Since $S(T,R,J)$ is a Sylow 2 subgroup of $\Omega_{2n}(\eta,q)$ (where n is even, $\eta = \pm1$, $q^n \equiv \eta \pmod 4$), we conclude that $r_2(S(T,R,J)) = 2n-1$ (see [L]). This implies that $r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) \geq r_2(S(T,R,J)) - 1 = 2n-2$. By Lemma 5.2,

$$2n-1 = r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) \geq r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) \geq 2n-2.$$

Since T and R (for $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta,q)$) satisfy the assumption of Lemma 2.5, we have $r_2(\overline{S(T,R,J)}) = 2n-2$. $\square$

6. Orthogonal Commutator Groups $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$ where n is odd, $\eta = \pm1$, $q^n \equiv \eta$ (mod 4)

Let $n = 1 + n_1$, where n_1 is even. Since $P\Omega_6(\eta,q)$ ($n_1 = 2$) is not a simple group, we shall assume that

$$n_1 \geq 4.$$

Let $S = S(T,R,J)$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n_1}(\eta', q)$ where n_1 is even, $\eta' = \pm1$, $q^{n_1} \equiv \eta'$ (mod 4) and let $\mathrm{diag}\,(e, 1, 1, \cdots, 1) = d(e)$, $\mathrm{diag}\,(f, 1, 1, \cdots, 1) = d(f)$ (S, e and f are given in section 5). Let

$$Y = \langle S, d(e), d(f) \rangle \times \langle x, y \rangle\,, \text{ where } o(x) = o(y) = 2, o(xy) = 2^t,$$

and 2^{t+1} is the largest power of 2 that divides (q^2-1). Let $z = \mathrm{diag}\,(g^{2^{t-1}}, g^{2^{t-1}}, \cdots)$ be given as in section 5 (see (5.1)). By Theorem 12 of [W], $V = \langle S, d(e)x, d(f)y \rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n}(\eta,q)$ and

$$\overline{V} = \langle S, d(e)x, d(f)y \rangle \Big/ \left\langle z(xy)^{2^{t-1}} \right\rangle \tag{6.1}$$

is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta,q)$ (n is odd, $\eta = \pm1$, $q^n \equiv \eta$ (mod 4)). It is easy to see that

$$\overline{V} \subseteq \overline{W} = \langle W(TR,1,J), x, y \rangle \Big/ \left\langle z(xy)^{2^{t-1}} \right\rangle. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that $[W(TR,1,J), \langle x, y\rangle] = 1$. As a consequence,

$$\langle W(TR,1,J), x, y \rangle \cong \begin{pmatrix} W(TR,1,J) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle g, k \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.3}$$

Note that $\langle g, k \rangle$ is dihedral of order 2^{t+1} (see section 5) and that $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \langle g, h \rangle$. It follows that one may decompose the group in (6.3) into

$$(6.3) = \begin{pmatrix} W(TR,1,J) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rtimes D = \Omega \rtimes D, \tag{6.4}$$

where $\Omega \cong W(TR, 1, J)$, $D = \langle \operatorname{diag}(v, v, \cdots) : v \in \langle g, k\rangle\rangle$. Note that $D \cong \langle g, k\rangle$ and that under the isomorphism we mention in (6.3), $z(xy)^{2^{t-1}}$ is mapped to

$$\sigma = \operatorname{diag}(z, g^{2^{t-1}}) = \operatorname{diag}(g^{2^{t-1}}, g^{2^{t-1}}, \cdots). \tag{6.5}$$

Consequently,

$$\overline{W} = \overline{\Omega} \rtimes \overline{D} \cong \Omega \rtimes \overline{D}, \tag{6.6}$$

where $\overline{D} = D/\langle\sigma\rangle$. Since $\Omega \cong W(TR, 1, J) \cong \prod w_{m_i}(TR)$ is a direct product of wreath products ((4.8) of [L]), we may apply Proposition 3.4 of [L] and conclude that

$$r_2(\overline{W}) \leq r_2(W(TR, 1, J)) + r_2(D/\langle\sigma\rangle) = 2n_1 + 2. \tag{6.7}$$

Suppose that the above is actually an equality. Let $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ be elementary abelian of dimension $2n_1 + 2$. Let $\overline{E} = (\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega}) \times \overline{F}$. Applying Lemma 2.3 of [L], $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega}$ is of dimension $2n_1$ and $r_2(\overline{F}) = 2$. Applying Lemmas 2.3, 3.2 of [L], $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega}$ is of the form

$$\operatorname{diag}(E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n_1/2}, 1)\langle\sigma\rangle / \langle\sigma\rangle. \tag{6.8}$$

where $E_i \subseteq TR$ is of dimension 4 ($r_2(TR) = 4$) and $r_2(\overline{E}|\overline{D}) = r_2(\overline{F}|\overline{D}) = r_2(\overline{D}) = 2$ (Lemma 2.3). Hence $\overline{E}|\overline{D}$ contains the centre of $\overline{D}$. Hence $\overline{E}|\overline{D}$ must contain the element $\overline{\tau}$,

$$\tau = \operatorname{diag}(v, v, \cdots, v),$$

$v = g^{\pm 2^{t-2}}$, $\tau^2 = \sigma$. It follows that the element in $\overline{E}$ projects to $\overline{\tau}$ is of the form

$$\overline{\nu} = \overline{\operatorname{diag}(A, g^{\pm 2^{t-2}})}, \tag{6.9}$$

where $A \in W(TR, 1, J)$, $A^2 = z$ (A must satisfy the equation $A^2 = z$ as $\overline{\nu} \in \overline{E}$ is of order 2). Note that $[(6.8), (6.9)] = \overline{1}$. An easy observation of the last entry of (6.8) and (6.9) shows that $\operatorname{diag}(A, 1) \in C_\Omega(\operatorname{diag}(E_1, \cdots, E_{n_1/2}, 1))$ (recall that $\overline{\Omega} \cong \Omega$). By the remark of Lemma 1.5, we have $A \in \operatorname{diag}(TR, TR, \cdots, TR)$. Since $A^2 = z$, we have

$$A = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots),$$

where a_i is one of the members in (A2) (see Appendix A). Since $[a_i, E_i] = 1$, the a_i cannot be $g^{2^{t-2}} d^m h$, $d^{2^{t-2}} g^n k$ (see the discussion of (5.5) and (5.6) of section 5). Hence $a_i \in \{g^{2^{t-2}}, \ d^{2^{t-2}}\}$. As a consequence,

$$E_i \subseteq C_{TR}(g^{\pm 2^{t-2}}) \text{ or } C_{TR}(d^{\pm 2^{t-2}}).$$

By Appendix A, such centralisers are of rank 3. This is a contradiction ($r_2(E_i) = 4$). Hence (6.7) can be refined into

$$r_2(\overline{W}) \leq 2n_1 + 1. \tag{6.10}$$

Applying (6.3), it is clear that $r_2(\overline{W}) \geq r_2(W(TR, 1, J) + 2 - 1 = 2n_1 + 1$. Hence

$$r_2(\overline{W}) = 2n_1 + 1. \tag{6.11}$$

We are now ready to determine the rank of $\overline{V}$. Note first that V (V is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n_1}(\eta', q)$) is of rank $2n_1 + 1$. (see section 5.7 of [L]). It follows that $r_2(\overline{V}) \geq 2n_1 + 1 - 1 = 2n_1$. By (6.11), we have

$$2n_1 \leq r(\overline{V}) \leq 2n_1 + 1 = r_2(\overline{W}). \tag{6.12}$$

Suppose that $r_2(\overline{V}) = 2n_1 + 1$. It follows that if $\overline{E} \subseteq \overline{V}$ is of maximal dimension, then $\overline{E}$ is actually of maximal dimension in $\overline{W}$ ($r_2(\overline{V}) = 2n_1 + 1 = r_2(\overline{W})$). Since

$r_2(\overline{W}) = 2n_1 + 1, r_2(\overline{W(TR,1,J)}) = 2n_1 - 1$ ((6.12) and Lemma 5.2), we have $r_2(\overline{E}|\overline{D}) = 2$ or 1. Suppose that $r_2(\overline{E}|\overline{D}) = 2$.

(i) Similar to (6.9) , $\overline{E}$ possesses an element $\overline{\tau}$,

$$\tau = \operatorname{diag}(A, g^{\pm 2^{t-2}}),\ A \in W(TR,1,J),\ A^2 = z. \tag{6.13}$$

(ii) $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega}$ is of rank $2n_1 - 1$.

By the remark of Lemma 3.2 of [L], $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega} \subseteq \operatorname{diag}(TR, TR, \cdots, TR, 1) \langle\sigma\rangle / \langle\sigma\rangle$. As a consequence,

$$\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega} = \operatorname{diag}(E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n_1/2}, 1) \langle\sigma\rangle / \langle\sigma\rangle\,, \tag{6.14}$$

where all the E_i are of rank 4 except one which is of rank 3. Note that $[(6.13), (6.14)] = \overline{1}$. It follows that $[A, \operatorname{diag}(E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n_1/2})] = 1$. By the remark of Lemma 1.5, we have $A \subseteq \operatorname{diag}(TR, TR, \cdots, TR)$. Since $A^2 = \sigma$, we have

$$A = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots),$$

where a_i is one of the members in (A2) (see Appendix A). Since $[a_i, E_i] = 1$, the a_i cannot be $g^{2^{t-2}}d^m h,\ d^{2^{t-2}}g^n k$ if $r_2(E_i) = 4$ (see the discussion of (5.5) and (5.6) of section 5). Hence $a_i \in \{g^{2^{t-2}},\ d^{2^{t-2}}\}$ for all i except one. Since $n_1 \geq 4$, at least one of the E_i's is of dimension 4. For such E_i, one has

$$E_i \subseteq C_{TR}(g^{\pm 2^{t-2}}) \text{ or } C_{TR}(d^{\pm 2^{t-2}}).$$

By Appendix A, such centralisers are of rank 3. This is a contradiction $(r_2(E_i) = 4)$. Hence $r_2(\overline{E}|\overline{D}) = 1$ and $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega}$ is of dimension $2n_1$. In particular, $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega}$ and $\overline{\Omega}$ have the same dimension (recall that $\Omega \cong \overline{\Omega}$). By Lemma 3.2 of [L], $\overline{E} \cap \overline{\Omega} = \operatorname{diag}(E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n_1/2}, 1) \langle\sigma\rangle / \langle\sigma\rangle$, where $E_i \subseteq TR$ is elementary abelian of rank 4 for every i. In particular, $\overline{E}$ possesses an element of the form

$$\overline{\operatorname{diag}(tr, 1, \cdots, 1)} \in \overline{S(T,R,J)}.$$

Since $r_2(TR) = 4$, $r_2(T) = 3$, we may choose $r \in R^{\times}$. This is a contradiction (see remark of section 4.2 of [L] and the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 2.5). In summary, we have

$$r_2(\overline{V}) = 2n_1.$$

Equivalently, we have

Proposition 6.1. *The* 2*-rank of* $P\Omega_{2n}(\eta, q)$ *where* $n \geq 5$ *is odd,* $\eta = \pm 1$, $q^n \equiv \eta$ $(mod\ 4)$ *is* $2n_1 = 2(n-1)$.

Appendix A

The main purpose of this appendix is to investigate the solutions of the following equation in TR (see section 5).

$$x^2 = z_0, \tag{A1}$$

where $Z(TR) = \left\langle z_0 = g^{2^{t-1}} \right\rangle$. Note that $o(z_0) = 2$ and that elements in TR admit the following (unique) form $g^n(dg)^m h^o k^p e^q f^r$. Direct calculation shows that x is one of the following :

$$g^{2^{t-2}},\ d^{2^{t-2}},\ g^{2^{t-2}}d^m h,\ d^{2^{t-2}}g^n k, \quad \text{where } n, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{A2}$$

Let w be an element in (A2) and let $C = C_{TR}(w)$ be its centraliser in TR. In the case $w = g^{2^{t-2}}$, one has

$$C = \langle g, d, h, kef\rangle = [(\langle g\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle) \rtimes \langle h\rangle] \rtimes \langle kef\rangle\,.$$

Suppose that the rank of C is 4. Let $E \subseteq C$ be elementary abelian of dimension 4. Since E is of dimension 4, $E \cap (\langle g\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle) \rtimes \langle h\rangle$ is of dimension 3 (see Lemma 2.3 of [L]). Hence $E \cap (\langle g\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle)$ must be of dimension 2 (see Lemma 2.3 of [L]). Note that $\langle g\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle$ has a unique elementary abelian subgroup of dimension 2 : $\left\langle (dg)^{2^{t-2}}, (d^{-1}g)^{2^{t-2}} \right\rangle$. It follows that

$$\left\langle (dg)^{2^{t-2}}, (d^{-1}g)^{2^{t-2}} \right\rangle \subseteq E$$

and that E must possess an element of the form $d^m g^n h$ ($r_2(E \cap (\langle g\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle) \rtimes \langle h\rangle) = 3 > 2 = r_2(E \cap (\langle g\rangle \times \langle dg\rangle))$. This is not possible as $d^m g^n h$ does not commute with $\left\langle (dg)^{2^{t-2}}, (d^{-1}g)^{2^{t-2}} \right\rangle$. Hence the rank of C is at most 3. One can show similarly that the rank of $C_{TR}(g^{2^{t-2}})$ is at most 3 as well.

References

[CF] R. Carter, P. Fong, *The Sylow 2-subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups*, J. of Algebra **1** (1964), 139-151.

[GLS3] G. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number* 3, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island (1998).

[HL] K. Harada, M. L. Lang, *Indecomposable Sylow 2-subgroups of simple groups*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae (2005) 85 : 161-194.

[L] M. L. Lang, *Ranks of the Sylow 2-subgroups of the classical groups*, preprint.

[M] S. Malyushitsky, *On Sylow 2-subgroups of finite simple groups of order up to* 2^{10}, Ph.D Thesis, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA (2004). preprint.

[W] W. J. Wong, *Twisted Wreath Products and Sylow 2-subgroups of Classical Simple Groups*, Math. Z. **97** (1967), 406-424.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE,
SINGAPORE 117543,
REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE.

`e-mail: matlml@math.nus.edu.sg`

lang-68-4.tex